

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/816,211		03/31/2004	Seyed Jafar Jafarian-Tehrani	LMRX-P034/P1233	7310	
32986	7590	05/17/2006		EXAMINER		
IPSG, P.C.		~		PASCHALL, MARK H ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. BOX 7 SAN JOSE,		170-0640				
,				3742		
				DATE MAILED: 05/17/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/816,211	JAFARIAN-TEHRANI, SE JAFAR	YED
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	Mark H. Paschall	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -) <u></u>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
·— · · — · · — · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		itters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-38 is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
	_		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		- butba Funninas	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			M / D
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	=	en received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau		-4 : d	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04-28-05</u>. 		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snch in view of Mitrovic. Snch teaches the claimed subject matter except for sensing of multiple variables using differing frequencies to create an equilivant model of the plasma circuit. The patent to Mitrovic is applied for teaching that it is conventional to create an equilivant circuit using multiple sensed variables using differing frequencies and use of the same effects a more3 uniform plasma processing system. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Snch system with multiple variable and equilivant circuit processing, to enhance the uniformity of the plasma produced for the processing. Use of particular models such as resistive, inductive and capacitive, as per the dependent claims is considered a matter of design for the artisan.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Collins and Flamm et al are cited for disclosing pertinent plasma control systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

Mp